

EXHIBIT A



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 08, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Koors v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-02524-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Koors_Audrey_17-cv-2524_1_Koors_Audrey_17-cv-2524_1"

Koors_Audrey_17-cv-
2524_1_Koors_Audrey_17-cv-2524_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Koors
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Audrey
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-02524
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

December 29, 2017

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03014-
JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Jensen_Gwendolyn _17-cv-3014_1_Jensen_Gwendolyn _17-cv-3014_1"

Jensen_Gwendolyn
_17-cv-
3014_1_Jensen_Gwen
dolyn _17-cv-3014_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Jensen
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Gwendolyn
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3014
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



February 14, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hoyos v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Hoyos_Teresa_017-cv-3022_1_Hoyos_Teresa_017-cv-3022_1"

Hoyos_Teresa_017-cv-
3022_1_Hoyos_Teresa
_017-cv-3022_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hoyos
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Teresa L.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-3022
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 5, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, LLP
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Witt, Alan. v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Witt_Alan _17-cv-3464_1_Witt_Alan _17-cv-3464_1"

Witt_Alan _17-cv-
3464_1_Witt_Alan _17-
cv-3464_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Witt
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Alan
CASE NO. - 17-cv-3464
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodes.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 22, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Rolf T. Fiebiger
Johnson Becker, PLLC
444 Cedar St., Suite 1800
St. Paul, MN 55101
rfiebiger@johnsonbecker.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Hoerbert_Darlene_017-cv-03616_1_Hoerbert_Darlene_017-cv-03616_1"

Hoerbert_Darlene_017
-cv-
03616_1_Hoerbert_Dar
lene_017-cv-03616_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hoerbert
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Darlene
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-03616
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Johnson Becker
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - rfeibiger@johnsonbecker.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 28, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Wesley G. Barr
The Olinde Firm, LLC
400 Poydras Street Suite 1980
New Orleans, LA 70130
wbarr@olindefirm.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Pimentel_Carlos_017-cv-03899_1_Pimentel_Carlos_017-cv-03899_1"

Pimentel_Carlos_017-
cv-
03899_1_Pimentel_Car
los_017-cv-03899_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Pimentel
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Carlos
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-03899
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 06, 09
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - The Olinde Firm, LLC
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - wbarr@olindefirm.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 2, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Wesley G. Barr
The Olinde Firm, LLC
400 Poydras Street Suite 1980
New Orleans, LA 70130
wbarr@olindefirm.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Hurley_Jonthan_17-cv-04169_1_Hurley_Jonthan_17-cv-04169_1"

Hurley_Jonthan_17-
cv-
04169_1_Hurley_Jonth
an_17-cv-04169_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Hurley
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jonathan C.
CASE NO. - 17-cv-04169
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 10
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - The Olinde Firm, LLC
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - wbarr@olindefirm.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

January 11, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Amanda M. Williams
Gustafson Gluek PLLC
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
awilliams@gustafsongluek.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Nickell et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Nickell_Vernon_17-cv-4285_1_Nickell_Vernon_17-cv-4285_1"

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Nickell
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Vernon
CASE NO. - 17-cv-04285
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03, 09
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Gustafson Gluek PLLC
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - awilliams@gustafsongluek.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 2, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Amanda M Williams
Gustafson Gluek PLLC
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, MN 55402
awilliams@gustafsongluek.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Adams_Artis _17-cv-04467_1_Adams_Artis _17-cv-04467_1"

Adams_Artis _17-cv-
04467_1_Adams_Artis
_17-cv-04467_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Adams
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Artis
CASE NO. - 17-cv-04467
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 06
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Gustafson Gluek
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - awilliams@gustafsongluek.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

March 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04476-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Holstine _Rachel _017-cv-04476_1_Holstine _Rachel _017-cv-04476_1"

Holstine _Rachel
_017-cv-
04476_1_Holstine
_Rachel _017-cv-
04476_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Holstine
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Rachel
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04476
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 07
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 16, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1_Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1"

Richey_Priscilla_17-
cv-
5323_1_Richey_Priscill
a_17-cv-5323_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Richey
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Priscilla
CASE NO. - 17-cv-5323
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 1.01 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Yes
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com

EXHIBIT B



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 26, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03305-JNE-
FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Title "Brainerd_Jeremiah_017-cv-3305_1_Brainerd_Jeremiah_017-cv-3305_1"

Brainerd_Jeremiah
_017-cv-
3305_1_Brainerd_Jere
miah_017-cv-3305_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Brainerd
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jeremiah
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-3305
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 07, 08, 11
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 2, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Gibson_Lisa_017-cv-4041_1_Gibson_Lisa_017-cv-4041_1"

Gibson_Lisa_017-cv-
4041_1_Gibson_Lisa
_017-cv-4041_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Gibson
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Lisa
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4041
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/Written STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com

EXHIBIT C

From: Ben Hulse

Sent: Saturday, May 12, 2018 8:33 AM

To: 'JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>;

'Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>

Cc: Jerry Blackwell <blackwell@blackwellburke.com>; Bridget Ahmann

<Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>; 'gzimmerman@meshbesh.com'

<gzimmerman@meshbesh.com>; 'bgordon@levinlaw.com' <bgordon@levinlaw.com>;

'MVC@ciresiconlin.com' <MVC@ciresiconlin.com>; 'JMC@CiresiConlin.com' <JMC@CiresiConlin.com>;

'David J. Szerlag' <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; 'Wendy Thayer' <wendy@pritzkerlaw.com>

Subject: Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Defendants' lists of PFS disputes for May 2018

Dear Judge Ericksen,

Even though this month's status conference has been cancelled, Defendants would still like to list cases with Plaintiff Fact Sheet disputes, as provided by PTO 14, paragraph 7, Dkt. No. 117.

In the past (including last month), the Court ordered that PFS disputes were deemed "addressed to the Court" notwithstanding the cancellation of the in-court conference. (E.g., Dkt. No. 959, 1222.) Defendants request the same for this month. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Best regards,

Ben Hulse

Counsel for Defendants

Benjamin W. Hulse
Blackwell Burke P.A.
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3256
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05302-JNE-FLN	Kelley, Charles v. 3M Company et al	11/30/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05342-JNE-FLN	Bozeman, Lynda v. 3M Company et al	12/4/2017	3/4/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges,
0:17-cv-05375-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Ronnie v. 3M Company et al	12/7/2017	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05458-JNE-FLN	Lake, Herbert v. 3M Company et al	12/15/2017	3/15/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05469-JNE-FLN	Minnigan-Judd, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018	4/10/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:17-cv-05515-JNE-FLN	Gates, Kathy v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-01489-JNE-FLN	Lawhon, Bobbie v. 3M Company et al.	5/5/2017	5/3/2018		Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018		Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018		Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00071-JNE-FLN	Mayfield, Antoine v. 3M Company et al	1/10/2018	4/10/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00076-JNE-FLN	Kurbis, Melanie v. 3M Company et al	1/10/2018	4/10/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00078-JNE-FLN	Gottfried, Arthur v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00079-JNE-FLN	Burza, John v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00080-JNE-FLN	Harris, Jimmie Don v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-FLN	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company et al	1/12/2018	4/12/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00148-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Chappell, Smith and Arden, P.A.
0:18-cv-00151-JNE-FLN	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00154-JNE-FLN	Coleman, James v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00178-JNE-FLN	Paul, Rodney v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00184-JNE-FLN	Proffit, Connie v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00190-JNE-FLN	Lloyd, Danny v. 3M Company et al	1/24/2018	4/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-FLN	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-FLN	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00239-JNE-FLN	Hill, Tahia v. 3M Company et al	1/26/2018	4/26/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-FLN	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00264-JNE-FLN	Wega, Salvadore v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00265-JNE-FLN	Sawyer, William v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-FLN	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-FLN	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00276-JNE-FLN	Travis, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00292-JNE-FLN	Matson, Charles v. 3M Company et al	2/1/2018	5/2/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence
0:18-cv-00318-JNE-FLN	Amador, George v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2018	5/3/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03496-JNE-FLN	Aker, Mary v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	2/1/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
0:17-cv-04537-JNE-FLN	Echard, Audrey et al v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04623-JNE-FLN	Guobadia, Maxine v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	2/28/2018	4/10/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04716-JNE-FLN	Bewley, Kenneth v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN	Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN	Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al	3/12/2018	4/2/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05135-JNE-FLN	Carrell, Silas v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05138-JNE-FLN	Johnson, Hannah v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05140-JNE-FLN	Green, Rosemary v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	3/15/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018	4/10/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/8/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-FLN	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2018	5/3/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-05200-JNE-FLN	Picuri, David v. 3M Company et al	3/22/2018	4/12/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

0:17-cv-05405-JNE-FLN	Perkins, Kristopher v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Hausfeld LLP
0:17-cv-05435-JNE-FLN	Echols, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03747-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Deloise v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	3/9/2018 4/10/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-03848-JNE-FLN	Boughner, Roland v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018 4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02524-JNE-FLN	Koors, Audrey v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	3/1/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	3/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03629-JNE-FLN	Ciccione, Louis v. 3M Company et al	1/17/2018	2/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	3/21/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03912-JNE-FLN	Kellett, Jackson v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03941-JNE-FLN	Martinez, Rodney v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018	3/29/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04476-JNE-FLN	Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	4/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04643-JNE-FLN	Pusateri, Marianne et al v. 3M Company et al	3/21/2018	4/11/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04703-JNE-FLN	Betts, Marilyn v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

0:17-cv-02534-JNE-FLN	Duran, Connie v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03964-JNE-FLN	Taylor, Whitney and Pat et al v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	4/17/2018		Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-01494-JNE-FLN	Six, John v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Hendrickson Law
0:17-cv-02638-JNE-FLN	Reinker, Susan v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN	Finney, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	3/6/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03162-JNE-FLN	Zamora, Arturo Jr. v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN	Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03533-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN	Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN	Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al	3/9/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN	Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN	Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04934-JNE-FLN	Hall, Martha v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2018	4/10/2018	Justinian & Associates PLLC
0:17-cv-03414-JNE-FLN	Towsley, Joe v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018		Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03921-JNE-FLN	Benson, Robert v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04230-JNE-FLN	Metivier, Gwendylen v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04334-JNE-FLN	Zeppetella, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04402-JNE-FLN	Weiss, Adam v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018		Jones Ward PLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

EXHIBIT D

Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 4:36 PM

To: 'JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov'; 'Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov'

Cc: Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesh & Spence (gzimmerman@meshbesh.com); 'Kyle Farrar'; Gabriel Assaad (gassaad@kennedyhodes.com); Jan Conlin (JMC@ciresiconlin.com); Ben Gordon (bgordon@levinlaw.com); Jerry Blackwell; Lyn Pruitt; Mary Young; Ben Hulse; Monica L. Davies; David Szerlag; Ahmann, Bridget M. (Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)

Subject: Joint Agenda and Status Report for June 21 Status Conference (MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN))

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Noel,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, the parties submit this Joint Agenda and Status Conference Report in preparation for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, June 21, at 9:30 a.m. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Best regards and have a great weekend.

Peter Goss



Peter Goss

Phone: 612.343.3283 | Fax: 612.343.3205

www.blackwellburke.com



431 South 7th Street, Suite 2500 • Minneapolis • MN • 55415

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05302-JNE-FLN	Kelley, Charles v. 3M Company et al	11/30/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05469-JNE-FLN	Minnigan-Judd, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00078-JNE-FLN	Gottfried, Arthur v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00080-JNE-FLN	Harris, Jimmie Don v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-FLN	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company et al	1/12/2018	4/12/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00151-JNE-FLN	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00154-JNE-FLN	Coleman, James v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00184-JNE-FLN	Proffit, Connie v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-FLN	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-FLN	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00265-JNE-FLN	Sawyer, William v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-FLN	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-FLN	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00348-JNE-FLN	Smith, Dorothy v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	5/8/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00354-JNE-FLN	McDermott, Kevin et al v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	5/9/2018		Parker Waichman LLP
0:18-cv-00437-JNE-FLN	McCullough, Angie v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	5/15/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00444-JNE-FLN	Garrison, Steven v. 3M Company et al	2/15/2018	5/16/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00527-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Evelyn v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00529-JNE-FLN	Logsdon, Wanda v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00606-JNE-FLN	Jones, Karen Marie v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00609-JNE-FLN	Larrison, Terry v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00617-JNE-FLN	Hayes, Carol v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00641-JNE-FLN	Rhew, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	6/5/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00681-JNE-FLN	Ferrer, Gladys v. 3M Company et al	3/9/2018	6/7/2018		Morris Law Firm

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al	12/26/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN	Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al	1/24/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN	Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/2/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	2/19/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/8/2018	5/11/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-FLN	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2018	5/3/2018	5/11/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-05200-JNE-FLN	Picuri, David v. 3M Company et al	3/22/2018	4/12/2018	5/11/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-FLN	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04889-JNE-FLN	Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05123-JNE-FLN	Robinson- Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	5/31/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05345-JNE-FLN	Lacy, John v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	5/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05385-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05466-JNE-FLN	Adams, Laurel v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN	Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00064-JNE-FLN	Garvin, Leah v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-02524-JNE-FLN	Koors, Audrey v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	03/01/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	03/14/2018	5/11/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03629-JNE-FLN	Ciccone, Louis v. 3M Company et al	1/17/2018	02/07/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	03/21/2018	5/11/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03912-JNE-FLN	Kellett, Jackson v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04476-JNE-FLN	Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	4/19/2018	5/11/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04257-JNE-FLN	Coggins, Mark v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	05/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-04470-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-04672-JNE-FLN	Bassett, Carey v. 3M Company et al	3/28/2018	4/18/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04752-JNE-FLN	Hyer, David v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	05/25/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-FLN	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04779-JNE-FLN	Key, Nancy v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05231-JNE-FLN	Williams, Catherine v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	05/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	5/11/2018 04/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018	5/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN	Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03696-JNE-FLN	Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03851-JNE-FLN	Colby, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2018	5/18/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04502-JNE-FLN	Parker, Iris v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	5/18/2018		Andrews Thornton Higgins Razmara, LLP
0:17-cv-04678-JNE-FLN	Bacon, Robert, et al v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	5/18/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-02638-JNE-FLN	Reinker, Susan v. 3M Company et al	2/6/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN	Finney, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN	Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN	Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN	Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al	2/20/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN	Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN	Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018 05/11/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03414-JNE-FLN	Towsley, Joe v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/11/2011	Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	5/11/2011	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04334-JNE-FLN	Zeppetella, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018	5/11/2011	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04402-JNE-FLN	Weiss, Adam v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018	5/11/2011	Jones Ward PLC
0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC
0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/16/2017		Capretz & Associates
0:17-cv-02925-JNE-FLN	Zimmerman, Burl v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03413-JNE-FLN	Williams, Wanda v. 3M Company, et al	4/12/2018		Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03501-JNE-FLN	Smith, Carla v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03541-JNE-FLN	Chille, Annette v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03563-JNE-FLN	Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03718-JNE-FLN	Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03952-JNE-FLN	Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-03963-JNE-FLN	Jones, Rose v. 3M Company et al	5/21/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04298-JNE-FLN	Colby, Charles Gilbert v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018		Charles Gilbert Colby <i>pro se</i>
0:17-cv-04327-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04328-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018		Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04331-JNE-FLN	Israel, Marc v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04385-JNE-FLN	Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:17-cv-04389-JNE-FLN	Miller, Amanda v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018		Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04400-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Timothy v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018		Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04433-JNE-FLN	Greene, James v. 3M Company	4/25/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-04518-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Douglas v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence, LTD
0:17-cv-04609-JNE-FLN	Holden, Dianna v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04612-JNE-FLN	Giroir, Shirley et al v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response
(Updated June 15, 2018)

0:17-cv-04631-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Donald v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04639-JNE-FLN	Guess, Carl v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04654-JNE-FLN	Keith, Kody v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04677-JNE-FLN	Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04859-JNE-FLN	Bradford, Darryl v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.